

From Hankel operators to Carleson measures in a quaternionic variable

Nicola Arcozzi*, Giulia Sarfatti†

Abstract

We introduce and study Hankel operators defined on the Hardy space of regular functions of a quaternionic variable. Theorems analogous to those of Nehari and C. Fefferman are proved.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Hardy space on the quaternionic ball; functions of a quaternionic variable; Hankel operators.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 30G35, 46E22, 47B35.

Notation. The symbol $\mathbb{H}$ denotes the set of the quaternions $q = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k = \text{Re}(q) + \text{Im}(q)$, with $\text{Re}(q) = x_0$ and $\text{Im}(q) = x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$; where the x_j 's are real numbers and the imaginary units i, j, k are subject to the rules $ij = k$, $jk = i$, $ki = j$ and $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$. We identify the quaternions q whose imaginary part vanishes, $\text{Im}(q) = 0$, with real numbers, $\text{Re}(q) \in \mathbb{R}$; and, similarly, we let $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{R}i + \mathbb{R}j + \mathbb{R}k$ be the set of the imaginary quaternions. The norm $|q| \geq 0$ of q is $|q| = \sqrt{\sum_{l=0}^3 x_l^2} = (q\bar{q})^{1/2}$, where $\bar{q} = x_0 - x_1i - x_2j - x_3k$ is the conjugate of q . The open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ contains the quaternions q such that $|q| < 1$. The boundary of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ is denoted by $\partial\mathbb{B}$. By the symbol $\mathbb{S}$ we denote the unit sphere of the imaginary quaternions: $q \in \mathbb{I}$ belongs to $\mathbb{S}$ if $|q| = 1$. For I in $\mathbb{S}$, the slice $L_I = L_{-I}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ contains all quaternions having the form $q = x + yI$, with x, y in $\mathbb{R}$.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the skew-field of the quaternions. The quaternionic Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ consists of the formal power series of the quaternionic variable q , $|q| < 1$,

$$f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n, \quad (1)$$

such that the sequence of quaternions $\{a_n\}$ satisfies

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} := \|\{a_n\}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (2)$$

*Partially supported by the PRIN project Real and Complex Manifolds of the Italian MIUR and by INDAM-GNAMPA

†Partially supported by INDAM-GNSAGA, by the PRIN project Real and Complex Manifolds and by the FIRB project Differential Geometry and Geometric Function Theory of the Italian MIUR

Such functions are *regular* in $\mathbb{B}$ in the sense of Gentili and Struppa [12]. For functions in $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, the quaternion valued inner product is

$$\left\langle \sum q^n a_n, \sum q^n b_n \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{b_n} a_n.$$

We might think of $\{a_n\}$ as a discrete, positive time, quaternionic-valued signal, with finite energy; and of $f = \widehat{a}$ as its generating function. Suppose T is a linear, stable, time-invariant, realizable filter acting on such signals. By this we mean a linear, bounded operator T on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})$, such that: (*time-invariance*) T commutes with the shift operator; and (*realizability*) the output Ta at time n depends on a_m with $m \leq n$ only. The shift operator S is defined as

$$Sa(n) = a(n-1).$$

We adopt here the convention that in vector spaces V on the quaternionic skew-field, in multiplication, scalar factors are on the right: if $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$, then $v\alpha \in V$. Exactly as in the complex valued case, T is time-invariant and well defined on Kronecker functions δ_k ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) if, and only if, $T = T_K$ is a convolution operator:

$$T_K a(n) = K * a(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} K(n-k) a(k), \quad (3)$$

with $K = T\delta_0$. On the other hand, realizability is equivalent to the condition that $K(n) = 0$ if $n < 0$. Relation (3) justifies the introduction of the $\star$ -product between functions of the form (1). If $g(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n b_n$, then

$$(f \star g)(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n-k} b_k.$$

The $\star$ -product is not pointwise product. See Section 2. If $\phi(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n K(n)$, then (3) becomes

$$\widehat{Ta} = \phi \star \widehat{a} := M_\phi a : \quad (4)$$

M_ϕ is a $\star$ -multiplication operator, where we have used the standard notation $\widehat{a}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n a(n)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the noncommutative algebra of the bounded operators on the quaternionic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, normed with the sup-norm. See [13] for the basic facts of functional analysis of linear spaces over the quaternions. The sharp result on boundedness for $\star$ -multiplication operators is in [1].

Theorem (A). *Let $\phi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a function which can be written as $\phi(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \check{\phi}(n)$, where the sum converges absolutely in $\mathbb{B}$. Then,*

$$\|M_\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{B}))} = \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{B})} := \sup_{q \in \mathbb{B}} |\phi(q)|.$$

As a consequence $\|T_\phi\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})} = \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{B})}$.

Equation (3), with $n, k \geq 0$, might be interpreted as multiplication times an infinite matrix $A_\alpha = [\alpha(n, k)]_{n, k \geq 0} = [K(n-k)]_{n, k \geq 0}$ with constant diagonals. In applications, it is important to consider the case of an infinite matrix with constant anti-diagonals, that is of Hankel operators. See [15] for an detailed excursion into the theory of such operators.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a quaternion valued sequence. Form the infinite matrix $A_\alpha = [\alpha(j+k)]_{j,k=0}^{+\infty}$, and let Γ_α acting on $\mathbb{H}$ valued sequences $v = (v_j)_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ by matrix multiplication: $(\Gamma_\alpha v)(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha(j+k)v(k)$.

Nehari's Problem. Under which conditions on α is the operator Γ_α bounded on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})$?

Exactly as in the complex valued case, the problem can be reformulated in terms of regular functions; one has only to be careful in keeping into account the noncommutativity of the product in $\mathbb{H}$. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let the sequence α and the regular function $b(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \check{b}(n)$ related via*

$$\alpha(n) = \overline{\check{b}(n)}, \text{ for } n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Set $\Lambda_b(h) := \langle h, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$ when h is regular in $\mathbb{B}$.

The following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $\|\Gamma_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H}))} < \infty$.
- (b) $\sup_{f, g \neq 0} \frac{|\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}|}{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}} < \infty$.
- (c) $\|b\|_{BMO(\mathbb{B})} := \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \|b\|_{BMO(\mathbb{B}_I)} < \infty$; where $\|b\|_{BMO(\mathbb{B}_I)}$ is the BMO-norm of the restriction of b to the slice $\mathbb{B}_I$.
- (d) The measure $d\mu_b(q) = |\partial_c b(q)|^2 (1 - |q|^2) d\text{Vol}_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ on $\mathbb{B}$ is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$: the inequality $\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f|^2 d\mu_b \leq c(\mu_b) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2$ holds whenever f is in $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.
- (e) Λ_b belongs to $[H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B})]^*$, the space dual to the weak $\star$ -product of two copies of $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, w.r.t. the inner product in $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Moreover,

$$\|\Gamma_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H}))} = \sup_{f, g \neq 0} \frac{|\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}|}{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}} \approx \|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*} \approx \|b\|_{BMO(\mathbb{B})} \approx C(\mu_b)^{1/2}.$$

In (d), $\partial_c b(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \check{b}(n) q^{n-1}$ is the Cullen derivative of b . See Section 2 for basic terminology and facts regarding regular functions.

The statement summarizes a circle of ideas which, in the complex valued case, are due to Nehari [14], C. Fefferman [9], Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [6]. The weak $\star$ -product $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B})$ is defined as the space of the functions φ which are regular in $\mathbb{B}$, and such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B})} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} : \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j \star g_j \right\} < \infty. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 partly makes direct use of the corresponding results in one complex variables, and partly adapts their proofs to the quaternionic setting, but some new ideas are required.

In Section 5 we discuss several equivalent definitions of $BMOA$ in the quaternionic context. There we show in a rather indirect way the curious fact that in (6) the infimum might be taken over decompositions with two summands only, $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^2 f_j \star g_j$. Having just one summand would imply a good factorization for regular functions in $H^1(\mathbb{B})$, but we do not have a result of this strength.

In order to make more concrete the definition of Carleson measures, we also provide a geometric characterization of them, which might have some independent interest. For $q = re^{J\theta} \in \mathbb{B}$, with $r \geq 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $J \in \mathbb{S}$, let

$$S(q) = \{\varrho e^{I\alpha} \in \mathbb{B} : |\alpha - \theta| \leq 1 - r, \ 0 < 1 - \varrho \leq 2(1 - r), \ I \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

be the symmetric box in $\mathbb{B}$, indexed by q , which is independent of the particular J .

Theorem 1.2. *A measure μ on $\mathbb{B}$ is a Carleson measure for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if for any $q \in \mathbb{B}$, the measure of the symmetric box $S(q)$ satisfies*

$$\mu(S(q)) \lesssim 1 - |q|.$$

The Theorem corresponds to Carleson's characterization of the corresponding measures on the complex unit disc, see [5]. The “only if” part of the proof requires some care. The fact that it suffices to test the measure over symmetric boxes only reflects the fact that regular functions are affine with respect to the imaginary unit, or, more geometrically, the fact that the “invariant metric” for functions regular on $\mathbb{B}$ is bounded on copies of $\mathbb{S}$ inside $\mathbb{B}$ (see [3]).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we provide some background material on regular functions of a quaternionic variable. Sections 3, with the proof of Theorem 1.2, and Section 4, with the characterization of the symbols of bounded Hankel operators, are essentially independent of each other. In Section 5 we discuss some properties of the quaternionic version of analytic BMO . Some questions we could not answer are summarized in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the definition of slice regular functions over the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ (in the sequel simply “regular” functions), together with some basic properties. We will restrict our attention to functions defined on the quaternionic unit ball $\mathbb{B} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q| < 1\}$. We refer to the book [11] for all details and proofs.

Let $\mathbb{S}$ denote the two-dimensional sphere of imaginary units of $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{S} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} | q^2 = -1\}$. One can “slice” the space $\mathbb{H}$ in copies of the complex plane that intersect along the real axis,

$$\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} (\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}I), \quad \mathbb{R} = \bigcap_{I \in \mathbb{S}} (\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}I),$$

where $L_I := \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}I \cong \mathbb{C}$, for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Each element $q \in \mathbb{H}$ can be expressed as $q = x + yI_q$, where x, y are real (if $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then $y = 0$) and I_q is an imaginary unit.

A function $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called (slice) regular if for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$ the restriction f_I of f to $\mathbb{B}_I = \mathbb{B} \cap L_I$ is holomorphic, i.e. it has continuous partial derivatives and it is such that

$$\bar{\partial}_I f_I(x + yI) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) f_I(x + yI) = 0$$

for all $x + yI \in \mathbb{B}_I$.

A wide class of examples of regular functions is given by power series with quaternionic coefficients of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ which converge in open balls centered at the origin. In fact, a function f is regular on $\mathbb{B}$ if and only if f has a power series expansion $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ converging in $\mathbb{B}$.

The *slice (or Cullen) derivative* of a function f which is regular on $\mathbb{B}$, is the regular function defined as

$$\partial_c f(x + yI) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) f_I(x + yI).$$

Slice regular functions defined on $\mathbb{B}$ present a peculiar property.

Theorem 2.1 (Representation Formula). *Let $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function and let $x + y\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{B}$. Then, for any $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$,*

$$f(x + yJ) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x + yI) + f(x - yI)] + J \frac{I}{2} [f(x - yI) - f(x + yI)].$$

By means of the Representation Formula, it is possible to extend a holomorphic function on a slice to a regular function on the entire ball.

Lemma 2.2 (Extension Lemma). *Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f_I : \mathbb{B}_I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is holomorphic, then setting*

$$f(x + yJ) = \frac{1}{2} [f_I(x + yI) + f_I(x - yI)] + J \frac{I}{2} [f_I(x - yI) - f_I(x + yI)]$$

extends f_I to a regular function $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. Moreover f is the unique extension and it is denoted by $\text{ext}(f_I)$.

A basic result that establishes a relation between regular functions and holomorphic functions of one complex variable is the following.

Lemma 2.3 (Splitting Lemma). *Let f be a regular function on $\mathbb{B}$. Then for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and for any $J \in \mathbb{S}$, $J \perp I$ there exist two holomorphic functions $F, G : \mathbb{B}_I = \mathbb{B} \cap L_I \rightarrow L_I$ such that*

$$f(x + yI) = F(x + yI) + G(x + yI)J$$

for any $x + yI \in \mathbb{B}_I$.

Since pointwise product of functions does not preserve slice regularity, a new multiplication operation for regular functions is defined. In the special case of power series, the *regular product* (or $\star$ -product) of $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ and $g(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n b_n$ is

$$f \star g(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}.$$

The $\star$ -product is related to the standard pointwise product by the following formula.

Proposition 2.4. *Let f, g be regular functions on $\mathbb{B}$. Then*

$$f \star g(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(q) = 0 \\ f(q)g(f(q)^{-1}qf(q)) & \text{if } f(q) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

The reciprocal $f^{-\star}$ of a regular function $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ with respect to the $\star$ -product is

$$f^{-\star}(q) = \frac{1}{f \star f^c(q)} f^c(q),$$

where $f^c(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \bar{a}_n$ is the *regular conjugate* of f . The function $f^{-\star}$ is regular on $\mathbb{B} \setminus \{q \in \mathbb{B} \mid f \star f^c(q) = 0\}$ and $f \star f^{-\star} = 1$ there.

The reproducing kernel for the quaternionic Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ has the expression:

$$k_w(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \bar{w}^n = (1 - q\bar{w})^{-\star}.$$

See [1] for this and other basic facts concerning $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

3 Carleson measures on $\mathbb{B}$

A nonnegative measure μ on $\mathbb{B}$ is called a *Carleson measure* for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ if it satisfies the imbedding inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(q)|^2 d\mu(q) \leq c(\mu) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2$$

for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$, with a constant $c(\mu)$ depending on μ alone.

We can always decompose a measure μ on the unit ball as $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ where $\mu_1(\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $\text{supp}(\mu_2) \subseteq \mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}$. Moreover μ is a Carleson measure if and only if the measures μ_1 and μ_2 are Carleson as well.

As a consequence of the Radon-Nikodym Theorem (see also Theorem 2.28 in [2]), any finite measure μ on $\mathbb{B}$, such that $\mu(\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}) = 0$, can be uniquely decomposed as

$$d\mu(x + yI) = d\mu_I^+(x + yI) d\nu(I) \tag{7}$$

where ν is the measure on the sphere $\mathbb{S}$ defined by

$$\nu(E) = \mu(\{x + yI \in \mathbb{B} \mid I \in E\})$$

and μ_I^+ is a (probability) measure on $\mathbb{B}_I^+ = \{x + yI \in \mathbb{B}_I : y \geq 0\}$. Extending μ_I^+ to the whole slice $\mathbb{B}_I = \mathbb{B}_I^+ \cup \mathbb{B}_{-I}^+$ by setting $\mu_I^+(x + y(-I)) = 0$, we can define a measure on $\mathbb{B}_I$,

$$\mu_I(z) = \mu_I^+(z) + \mu_{-I}^+(z).$$

The measure μ_I coincides with μ_I^+ on $\mathbb{B}_I^+$ and with μ_{-I}^+ on $\mathbb{B}_{-I}^+$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi(x + yI) d\mu(x + yI) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} \varphi(x + yI) d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I)$$

for any $\varphi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. If in general μ is a finite measure on $\mathbb{B}$, we can decompose $\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{R}} + \tilde{\mu}$ where $\tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $\text{supp} \mu_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}$, and define for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$ $\mu_I = \mu_{\mathbb{R}} + \tilde{\mu}_I$. In this case

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi(x + yI) d\mu(x + yI) = \int_{\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} \varphi(x + yI) d\tilde{\mu}_I(x + yI) d\nu(I), \tag{8}$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_I$ is obtained from $\tilde{\mu}$ as above.

A stronger property for a measure defined on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ is to be a Carleson measure on each slice $\mathbb{B}_I$. The measure μ is called *slice Carleson* for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ if there exists a constant $c(\mu)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(x + yI)|^2 d\mu_I(x + yI) = \int_{\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(x + yI)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_I(x + yI) \leq c(\mu) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \quad (9)$$

for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and I in $\mathbb{S}$.

The norm $\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2$ can be interpreted as the H^2 -norm, in sense of one complex variable, of the function $f|_{\mathbb{B}_I}$, after taking into account the Splitting Lemma:

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \text{ (if } f(x + yI) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x + yI)^n a_n),$$

which does not depend on I .

Proposition 3.1. *If a measure μ is slice Carleson for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, then it is also Carleson.*

Proof. If μ is slice Carleson then inequality (9) tested on the function identically equal to 1 implies that μ is finite. Using the same notations as in (8), we get then that for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(q)|^2 d\mu(q) &= \int_{\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\nu(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_I(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\nu(I) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

As in the classical complex setting (see, e.g., [7]), it is possible to give a characterization of Carleson measures in terms of “boxes”. For $q = re^{J\theta} \in \mathbb{B}$, denote by $A_I(q)$ the arc of $\partial\mathbb{B}_I$ defined as

$$A_I(q) = \{e^{I\alpha} \in \partial\mathbb{B}_I : |\alpha - \theta| \leq 1 - r\},$$

and let $S_I(q)$ be the “box” in $\mathbb{B}_I$ defined by

$$S_I(q) = \{\varrho e^{I\alpha} \in \mathbb{B}_I : e^{I\alpha} \in A_I(q), 0 < 1 - \varrho \leq 2(1 - r)\}.$$

Definition 3.2. *Let $q \in \mathbb{B}$. The symmetric subset of $\mathbb{B}$ obtained as*

$$S(q) = \cup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} S_I(q)$$

is called a symmetric box.

For slice Carleson measures the characterization follows quite easily from the classical case.

Proposition 3.3. *A measure μ on $\mathbb{B}$ is slice Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_I$, $\mu_I(S_I(z)) \lesssim |A_I(z)|$, where $|A_I(z)|$ denotes the length of the arc $A_I(z)$.*

Proof. On the one hand, recalling the definition of slice Carleson measures, if equation (9) holds for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_I) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B})$, then it holds for any $F \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$ that maps $\mathbb{B}_I$ to itself as well. Namely μ_I is a Carleson measure for the complex Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$, which leads us to conclude thanks to the classical Carleson Theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 9.3 in [7]).

On the other hand, if $\mu_I(S_I(z)) \lesssim |A_I(z)|$, the classical Carleson Theorem yields that μ_I is a Carleson measure for the complex Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Therefore, if $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$, and, according to the Splitting Lemma, f decomposes on L_I as $f(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$, with $J \in \mathbb{S}$, $J \perp I$, and F, G holomorphic on $\mathbb{B}_I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_I(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_I(z) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |G(z)|^2 d\mu_I(z) \\ &\lesssim \|F\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 + \|G\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

The characterization theorem for general Carleson measures requires the following technical result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and let $s(w) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - |z| \leq 2(1 - |w|), |\arg(z) - \arg(w)| \leq 1 - |w|\}$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{c} \leq \left| \frac{1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}}{1 - zw} \right| \leq c$$

for any $z \in s(w)$.

Proof. We can suppose $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$, since the statement is invariant by multiplication by -1 , and $\operatorname{Im} w \geq 0$, since $\overline{s(w)} = s(\bar{w})$. We will write $a + ib \approx \alpha + i\beta$ if $\frac{1}{c}|\alpha| \leq |a| \leq c|\alpha|$ and $\frac{1}{c}|\beta| \leq |b| \leq c|\beta|$ for some positive constant c . Hence $a + ib \approx \alpha + i\beta$ implies $|a + ib| \approx |\alpha + i\beta|$.

(a) Consider first the case where w is near the real axis. Let $w = (1 - \varepsilon)e^{i\delta}$ and $z = (1 - \varepsilon')e^{i(\delta' + \delta)}$ where $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ for a fixed $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $|\delta'|, \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$. Up to choose ε_0 and δ_0 small enough to have $\varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon\varepsilon' \approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon'$ and $(2\delta + \delta')^2 + |2\delta + \delta'| \approx |2\delta + \delta'|$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - zw &= 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)e^{i\delta}(1 - \varepsilon')e^{i(\delta + \delta')} = 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon') + (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon')(1 - e^{i(2\delta + \delta')}) \\ &\approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + 1 - \cos(2\delta + \delta') - i \sin(2\delta + \delta') \approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + (2\delta + \delta')^2 - i(2\delta + \delta'). \end{aligned}$$

For what concerns the norm:

$$|1 - zw| \approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + (2\delta + \delta')^2 + |2\delta + \delta'| \approx \varepsilon + |2\delta + \delta'|.$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2} &= 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon')e^{i(\delta + \delta')} \cos \delta \\ &= 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon') + (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon')(1 - \cos \delta) + (1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon') \cos \delta (1 - e^{i(\delta + \delta')}) \\ &\approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \delta^2 + 1 - \cos(\delta + \delta') - i \sin(\delta + \delta') \end{aligned}$$

which, in terms of norm, implies

$$\left|1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}\right| \approx \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \delta^2 + (\delta + \delta')^2 + |\delta + \delta'| \approx \varepsilon + \delta^2 + |\delta + \delta'|.$$

Moreover, if $|2\delta + \delta'| \geq 5\varepsilon$, since $|\delta'| \leq \varepsilon$, we necessarily get $\delta \geq 2\varepsilon$. Therefore

$$|\delta + \delta'| \approx |2\delta + \delta'| \approx \delta \geq \delta^2$$

i.e.

$$|1 - zw| \approx \left|1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}\right| \approx \varepsilon + \delta.$$

If $|2\delta + \delta'| \leq 5\varepsilon$, then $|\delta| \leq \frac{5\varepsilon}{2}$ and

$$|1 - zw| \approx \left|1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}\right| \approx \varepsilon \approx \varepsilon + \delta.$$

(b) Consider now the case where w is far away from the real axis. Let $\varphi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be the fractional linear transformation defined by

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}}{1 - zw}.$$

The real axis is mapped by φ to the circle C passing by $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi(1) = \frac{1 - \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}}{1 - w}$, and $\varphi\left(\frac{2}{w + \bar{w}}\right) = 0$; the upper half-space is mapped inside the disc bounded by C (since, for instance, $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$). This directly implies that for any $z \in s(w)$, if $w = (1 - \varepsilon)e^{i\delta}$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\delta \geq \varepsilon_0$, we have $|\varphi(z)| \leq c$ for some constant c .

Hence we are left to show that when $z \in s(w)$, $|\varphi(z)|$ is bounded away from 0. Consider

$$\left|\varphi(z) - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}}{1 - zw} - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \frac{1}{2} \left|\frac{1 - z\bar{w}}{1 - zw}\right|.$$

Let ε_0 be sufficiently small and $\frac{\pi}{2} \geq \delta \geq \delta_0$. For any $w = (1 - \varepsilon)e^{i\delta}$ and for any $z \in s(w)$ we have that $\left|z - \frac{1}{w}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left|z - \frac{1}{w}\right|$ which implies $\left|\varphi(z) - \frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and hence $|\varphi(z)| \geq 1/4$.

(c) The last case to consider is when $|w| \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0$. We have the following estimates

$$\frac{1 - |w|}{1 + |w|} \leq \left|\frac{1 - z \frac{w + \bar{w}}{2}}{1 - zw}\right| \leq \frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|},$$

which, setting $r_0 = 1 - \varepsilon_0$, lead to

$$\frac{1 - r_0}{1 + r_0} \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq \frac{1 + r_0}{1 - r_0},$$

thus concluding the proof. $\square$

The announced characterization result can then be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.5. A measure μ on $\mathbb{B}$ is a Carleson measure for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if for any $q = re^{J\theta} \in \mathbb{B}$, the measure of the symmetric box $S(q)$ satisfies

$$\mu(S(q)) \lesssim |A_I(q)|,$$

where I is any imaginary unit and $|A_I(q)|$ denotes the length of the arc $A_I(q)$.

Proof. Consider first the case in which $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq (\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R})$. In this case μ is a Carleson measure if and only if it is slice Carleson: since $\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}$ is contained in each slice $\mathbb{B}_I$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(q)|^2 d\mu(q) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2$$

for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Therefore the statement follows by Proposition 3.3.

Let now $\mu(\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Suppose first that μ is Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and let $w = u + vI_w \in \mathbb{B}$. Consider the function

$$K(q) := \frac{1}{A(\mathbb{S})} \int_{\mathbb{S}} k_{u+vI}(q) dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I)$$

where $k_{u+vI_w}(q) = k_w(q) = (1 - q\bar{w})^{-*}$ is the reproducing kernel of $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and $dA_{\mathbb{S}}$ denotes the usual area element on the sphere $\mathbb{S}$. Then, using the fact that $k_w(q) = \overline{k_q(w)}$ for any $w, q \in \mathbb{B}$ and the Representation Formula, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} K(q) &= \frac{1}{A(\mathbb{S})} \int_{\mathbb{S}} k_{u+vI}(q) dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) = \frac{1}{A(\mathbb{S})} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \overline{k_q(u+vI)} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \\ &= \frac{1}{A(\mathbb{S})} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left(\frac{1-IJ}{2} k_q(u+vJ) + \frac{1+IJ}{2} k_q(u-vJ) \right) dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \\ &= \frac{1}{A(\mathbb{S})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}} \overline{k_q(u+vJ)} \frac{1-JI}{2} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) + \int_{\mathbb{S}} \overline{k_q(u-vJ)} \frac{1+JI}{2} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \right) \\ &= \overline{k_q(u+vJ)} \left(\frac{A(\mathbb{S}) - J \int_{\mathbb{S}} IdA_{\mathbb{S}}(I)}{2A(\mathbb{S})} \right) + \overline{k_q(u-vJ)} \left(\frac{A(\mathbb{S}) + J \int_{\mathbb{S}} IdA_{\mathbb{S}}(I)}{2A(\mathbb{S})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (k_{u+vJ}(q) + k_{u-vJ}(q)) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where J is any imaginary unit. The function $K(q) \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ since it is the superposition of functions in H^2 and, for any $J \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\|K\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|k_{u+vJ}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} + \|k_{u-vJ}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}) = \|k_{u+vJ}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}.$$

Then, since μ is a Carleson measure, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |K(q)|^2 d\mu(q) \lesssim \|K\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \leq \|k_{u+vJ}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - (u^2 + v^2)} = \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |w|}. \tag{11}$$

Let us study the modulus $|K(q)|$, when q belongs to the symmetric box $S(w)$. Recalling equation (10) together with the fact that we can choose the imaginary unit J appearing in (10) to be the same as the one of q , we are left to estimate the modulus of K slicewise, namely we need to estimate the quantity

$$|K(z)| = \frac{1}{2} |k_w(z) + k_{\bar{w}}(z)| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{1 - z\bar{w}} + \frac{1}{1 - z w} \right| = \left| \frac{1 - z \frac{w+\bar{w}}{2}}{1 - z w} \right| \left| \frac{1}{1 - z\bar{w}} \right|$$

with $z \in S_{I_w}(w)$. Thanks to Lemma 3.4 and to classical estimates of the reproducing kernel of the complex Hardy space (see e.g. the proof of Theorem 9.3 in [7]) we obtain that for any $J \in \mathbb{S}$

$$|K(x + yJ)| \gtrsim \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2}.$$

With the notations of (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |K(q)|^2 d\mu(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |K(x + yI)|^2 d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{S_I(w)} |K(x + yI)|^2 d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I) \\ &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{S_I(w)} \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^2} d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I) = \frac{\mu(S(w))}{(1 - |w|^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Comparing equations (11) and (12) we conclude

$$\mu(S(w)) \lesssim \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^2}{1 - |w|} \leq 1 - |w| \approx |A_I(w)|.$$

Let us now suppose that μ is a measure on $\mathbb{B}$ such that for any symmetric box $S(q)$, $\mu(S(q)) \lesssim |A_I(q)|$. With the notation of (8), the hypothesis on μ yields that, for any $w \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_I(w)| \gtrsim \mu(S(w)) &= \int_{S(w)} d\mu(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left(\int_{S_I(w)} d\mu_I(z) \right) d\nu(I) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \left(\int_{S_{J_0}(u + \nu J_0)} d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ_0) \right) d\nu(I) \end{aligned}$$

where J_0 is any (fixed) imaginary unit, $S_{J_0}(w)$ is the projection of the symmetric box $S(w)$ on the fixed slice $\mathbb{B}_{J_0}$ and μ_I^{proj} is the projection of the measure μ_I on the same slice,

$$\mu_I^{proj}(E) = \mu_I(\{x + yI : x + yJ_0 \in E\}), \quad d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ_0) = d\mu_I(x + yI)$$

for any $E \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{J_0}$. Then the measure

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ_0) d\nu(I)$$

is Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B}_{J_0})$. Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Using the Representation Formula, if I denotes the imaginary unit of q , and J is any imaginary unit, $J \neq \pm I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(q)|^2 d\mu(q) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} \left| \frac{1}{2} (f(x + yJ) - f(x - yJ)) + \frac{IJ}{2} (f(x - yJ) - f(x + yJ)) \right|^2 d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} (|f(x + yJ)|^2 + |f(x - yJ)|^2) d\mu_I(x + yI) d\nu(I) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_J} (|f(x + yJ)|^2 + |f(x - yJ)|^2) d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ) d\nu(I) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_J} |f(x + yJ)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ) d\nu(I) + \int_{\mathbb{B}_J} |f^c(x + yJ)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\mu_I^{proj}(x + yJ) d\nu(I), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $|f(\bar{q})| = |\overline{f(q)}| = |f^c(q)|$. Since the regular conjugate f^c of a function belonging to $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ as well (see [8]) and has same H^2 -norm, thanks to Proposition 3.3 and to the fact that the H^2 -norm is the same on each slice, we thus conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(q)|^2 d\mu(q) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 + \|f^c\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 = 2\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

□

4 Hankel bilinear forms

Let $b : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. The *Hankel operator* associated with the symbol b is the bilinear operator $T_b : H^2(\mathbb{B}) \times H^2(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ defined by

$$T_b(f, g) = \langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$$

for any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

As stated in the Introduction, a natural problem in this setting is to find necessity and sufficiency conditions on the symbol b so that the corresponding T_b is a bounded operator, i.e. so that there exists a constant $c(b)$ depending only on b such that

$$|T_b(f, g)| \leq c(b) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$$

for any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Consider the weak $\star$ -product space $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})$, namely. the space of all linear combinations of $\star$ -products of couples of functions in $H^2(\mathbb{B})$,

$$H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}) = \left\{ \Phi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} : \Phi(q) = \sum_j f_j \star g_j(q), \text{ with } f_j, g_j \in H^2(\mathbb{B}) \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})} = \inf \left\{ \sum_j \|f_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} : \Phi = \sum_j f_j \star g_j, \text{ with } f_j, g_j \in H^2(\mathbb{B}) \right\}.$$

The first step to study the boundedness of the operator T_b is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $b : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function and set $\Lambda_b(h) := \langle h, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$ when h is regular in $\mathbb{B}$. Then, there exists a constant $c(b)$, depending only on b , such that*

$$|T_b(f, g)| \leq c(b) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$$

for any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$, if and only if Λ_b belongs to the dual space $(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$.

On the other hand, for all Λ in $(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$ there exists a unique regular $b : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ such that $\Lambda = \Lambda_b$. Moreover,

$$\|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*} \approx \sup_{f \neq 0, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})} \frac{|T_b(f, g)|}{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}}$$

Proof. First consider a regular b such that $\Lambda_b \in (H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$. Then, for any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$,

$$|\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}| \leq \|f \star g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*} \leq \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*}$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of the norm on $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Let now Λ be a linear functional in $(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$. Since $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ imbeds in $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})$ continuously, as a dense subspace, Λ can be identified with a unique element of $(H^2(\mathbb{B}))^* = H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Hence, $\Lambda = \Lambda_b$ for some b in $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, and

$$\sup_{f \neq 0, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})} \frac{|T_b(f, g)|}{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}} \lesssim \|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*}.$$

In the other direction, let $\Phi \in H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Then, for any decomposition of Φ of the form $\Phi = \sum_j f_j \star g_j$, if T_b is bounded, we have

$$|\langle \Phi, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}| \leq \sum_j |\langle f_j \star g_j, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}| \leq \sum_j \|f_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} c(b)$$

for some constant $c(b)$ depending only on the function b . Therefore, taking the infimum on all possible decompositions of the function Φ , we get

$$|\langle \Phi, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}| \leq \inf \left\{ \sum_j \|f_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \|g_j\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} c(b) \mid f_j, g_j \in H^2(\mathbb{B}) \right\} = c(b) \|\Phi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B})}$$

thus showing that $\Lambda_b \in (H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$ and that

$$\sup_{f \neq 0, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})} \frac{|T_b(f, g)|}{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} \cdot \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}} \gtrsim \|\Lambda_b\|_{(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*}.$$

□

Another way to characterize the functions b for which the Hankel operator T_b is a bounded operator, is in terms of Carleson measures.

First, we need a preliminary result (which holds in analogy with the complex case), concerning the H^2 -norm.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $dVol_{\mathbb{B}}$ denote the invariant volume form associated with the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ introduced in [3], $dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(x + yI) = \frac{1}{4} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) dx dy$. Then, for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$*

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{Vol(\mathbb{B})} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\partial_c f(q)|^2 \log |q|^{-2} dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q).$$

Proof. Fix $0 < R < 1$. In polar coordinates $q = re^{tI}$, $r \in [0, R]$, $t \in [0, \pi]$, $I \in \mathbb{S}$, using power series

expansions, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{R\mathbb{B}} |\partial_c f(q)|^2 \log |q|^{-2} dVol_{R\mathbb{B}}(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^R |\partial_c f(re^{It})|^2 \log(r^{-2}) r dr dt \frac{dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I)}{4} \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_0^R -2r \log(r) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\partial_c f(re^{It})|^2 dt dr dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_0^R -2r \log(r) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n r^{n-1} \bar{a}_n e^{-(n-1)tI} \sum_{m \geq 1} m r^{m-1} e^{(m-1)tI} a_m \right) dt dr dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_0^R -2r \log(r) 2\pi \sum_{n \geq 1} n^2 |a_n|^2 r^{2n-2} dr \\
&= \frac{(4\pi)(2\pi)}{8} \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^2 2n^2 \int_0^R -2r^{2n-1} \log(r) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $2n^2 \int_0^R -2r^{2n-1} \log(r) dr \rightarrow 1$ as R goes to 1, we conclude that

$$|f(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{Vol(\mathbb{B})} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\partial_c f(q)|^2 \log |q|^{-2} dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q) = |f(0)|^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^2 = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

□

As a consequence we obtain the following expression for the H^2 -norm.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \approx |f(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{Vol(\mathbb{B})} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\partial_c f(q)|^2 (1 - |q|^2) dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q). \quad (13)$$

The proof is analogous to the one in the complex case, see the proof of Theorem 8.1.10 in [16]. By polarization, we can use as inner product of $H^2(\mathbb{B})$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} = \overline{g(0)} f(0) + \frac{1}{Vol(\mathbb{B})} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \overline{\partial_c g(q)} \partial_c f(q) (1 - |q|^2) dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q). \quad (14)$$

The following result can be interpreted as an analogue of the Nehari Theorem in the quaternionic setting.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $b : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. Then the Hankel operator T_b is bounded, i.e. there exists a constant $c(b)$ depending only on b such that*

$$|\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}|^2 \leq c(b) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \quad (15)$$

for any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$, if and only if the measure on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ defined by

$$|\partial_c b(q)|^2 (1 - |q|^2) dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q) \quad (16)$$

is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof. Suppose first that T_b is bounded. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and consider any $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ such that $f, g : \mathbb{B}_I \rightarrow L_I$, namely such that $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$; according to the Splitting Lemma, let b be decomposed as

$$b(z) = b_1(z) + b_2(z)J$$

with $b_1, b_2 : \mathbb{B}_I \rightarrow L_I$ holomorphic and $J \in \mathbb{S}$ orthogonal to I . Then, recalling that the norm and the inner product of $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ can be computed on any slice,

$$|\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}|^2 = |\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}|^2 = |\langle fg, b_1 + b_2J \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}|^2 = |\langle fg, b_1 \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}|^2 + |\langle fg, b_2 \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}|^2$$

which, recalling equation (15), leads to

$$|\langle fg, b_k \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}|^2 \leq c(b) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2$$

for both $k = 1, 2$. Thanks to the analogous result (see e.g. [16]) in the complex case, we get that $|b'_k(z)|^2(1 - |z|^2)dxdy$ is a (complex) Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and hence, for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 |\partial_c b(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 (|b'_1(z)|^2 + |b'_2(z)J|^2) (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 |b'_1(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |f(z)|^2 |b'_2(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling (9), implies that the quaternionic measure $|\partial_c b(z)|^2(1 - |z|^2)dxdy$ is Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. The fact that I was any imaginary unit, yields that the measure $|\partial_c b(q)|^2(1 - |q|^2)dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ is slice Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and therefore, thanks to Proposition 3.1, Carleson.

Let us now prove the opposite implication. Using Equation 14 and the Leibniz rule for the slice derivative, if $z = x + yI \in \mathbb{B}_I$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f \star g, b \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}| &\lesssim \left| \overline{b(0)}(f \star g)(0) \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \overline{\partial_c b(q)} \partial_c (f \star g)(q) (1 - |q|^2) dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} \overline{\partial_c b(z)} \partial_c (f \star g)(z) (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)| |\partial_c f(z)| |g(\hat{z})| (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)| |f(z)| |\partial_c g(\tilde{z})| (1 - |z|^2) dxdy = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{z}$ and $\tilde{z}$ are points lying on the same two sphere as z , determined in view of the expression of the $\star$ -product in terms of the pointwise product (see Proposition 2.4. Here we are omitting the discrete subset of $\mathbb{B}_I$ where f or $\partial_c f$ vanish). To estimate the integral $\mathcal{I}_1$ we first use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and then Corollary 4.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)|^2 |g(\hat{z})|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)|^2 |g(\hat{z})|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy \left(\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 - |f(0)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)|^2 |g(\hat{z})|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The Representation Formula allows us to express $g(\hat{z})$ in terms of $g(z)$ and $g(\bar{z})$, so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)|^2 |g(\hat{z})|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dx dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}} dA_{\mathbb{S}}(I) \int_{\mathbb{B}_I} |\partial_c b(z)|^2 (|g(z)|^2 + |g(\bar{z})|^2) (1 - |z|^2) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now $|g(\bar{z})| = |\overline{g(z)}| = |g^c(z)|$ and $\|g^c\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})} = \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$ (see, e.g., [8]); hence, using equations (17), (18) and recalling that $|\partial_c b(q)|^2 (1 - |q|^2) dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, we conclude

$$\mathcal{I}_1^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2 \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

With analogous arguments, we get the same estimate on the second integral $\mathcal{I}_2$, thus completing the proof. $\square$

5 Quaternionic BMOA

Definition 5.1. Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and, for any interval $a = (\alpha, \beta)$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| := |\beta - \alpha| \leq 2\pi$, denote by $f_{I,a}$ the average value of (the radial limit of) f on the arc $(e^{\alpha I}, e^{\beta I}) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{B}_I$,

$$f_{I,a} = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta.$$

We say that $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$ if

$$\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)} := \sup_{a \subset \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \right\} < +\infty.$$

We say that $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B})$ if

$$\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B})} := \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)} < +\infty.$$

The fact that $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ yields that almost everywhere at the boundary f satisfies the Representation Formula (see [8]), which leads to the following statement.

Proposition 5.2. Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Then $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Proof. The necessity condition is trivial. Suppose then that $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$ for $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Thanks to the Representation Formula, the average value of f on a different slice $\mathbb{B}_J$, $J \in \mathbb{S}$, $J \neq \pm I$, can be computed as

$$f_{J,a} = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a f(e^{\theta J}) d\theta = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a \left(\frac{1 - JI}{2} f(e^{\theta I}) + \frac{1 + JI}{2} f(e^{-\theta I}) \right) d\theta = \frac{1 - JI}{2} f_{I,a} + \frac{1 + JI}{2} f_{I,-a}$$

where, if $a = (\alpha, \beta)$, then $-a = (-\beta, -\alpha)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta J}) - f_{J,a}|^2 d\theta &= \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a \left| \frac{1 - JI}{2} (f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}) + \frac{1 + JI}{2} (f(e^{-\theta I}) - f_{I,-a}) \right|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}|^2 d\theta + \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{-\theta I}) - f_{I,-a}|^2 d\theta \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}|^2 d\theta + \frac{1}{|a|} \int_{-a} |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,-a}|^2 d\theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2 \leq 2 \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}|^2 d\theta + \frac{1}{|a|} \int_{-a} |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,-a}|^2 d\theta \right) \leq 4\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)}^2,$$

which is finite. Since J was any imaginary unit, we are done. $\square$

The previous result immediately implies that, for $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$,

$$\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)} \leq \|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B})} \leq 2\|f\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)}.$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$. Then $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if the measure $|\partial_c f(q)|^2(1-|q|^2)dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.*

Proof. Let $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$ with $J \perp I$, and consider the splitting of f on $\mathbb{B}_I$ with respect to J , $f = F + GJ$, where $F, G : \mathbb{B}_I \rightarrow L_I$ are holomorphic functions. Notice that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi$,

$$f_{I,a} = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a F(e^{\theta I}) + G(e^{\theta I})J d\theta = F_a + G_a J$$

where F_a, G_a are the average values of F and G respectively. Moreover, thanks to the orthogonality of I and J we get

$$\frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |f(e^{\theta I}) - f_{I,a}|^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |F(e^{\theta I}) - F_a|^2 + |G(e^{\theta I}) - G_a|^2 d\theta. \quad (19)$$

Then, if $f \in BMOA(\mathbb{B})$, we also have that both F and G belong to the (complex) space $BMOA(\mathbb{D})$. Thanks to classical results (see, e.g., Theorem 8.3.5 in [16]) we get then that both $|F'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dxdy$ and $|G'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dxdy$ are Carleson measures for the complex Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Hence

$$|\partial_c f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dxdy = (|F'(z)|^2 + |G'(z)|^2)(1-|z|^2)dxdy$$

is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Since I was any imaginary unit, we have that $|\partial_c f(q)|^2(1-|q|^2)dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ is slice Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and therefore it is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

If, on the other hand, $|\partial_c f(q)|^2(1-|q|^2)dVol_{\mathbb{B}}(q)$ is a Carleson measure for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$, thanks to Proposition 4.4 we get that the Hankel operator T_f associated with f

$$T_f : H^2(\mathbb{B}) \times H^2(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad (g_1, g_2) \mapsto \langle g_1 \star g_2, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}$$

is bounded. In particular, T_f is bounded also when restricted to $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \times H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I) \times H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Namely, for any $g_1, g_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{B})$ that map $\mathbb{B}_I$ to L_I for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$, if the splitting of f on $\mathbb{B}_I$ with respect to $J \perp I$ is $f = F + GJ$, then

$$+\infty > |\langle g_1 \star g_2, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}|^2 = |\langle g_1 g_2, F + GJ \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)}|^2 = |\langle g_1 g_2, F \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}|^2 + |\langle g_1 g_2, G \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}|^2.$$

Hence, thanks to classical results (see e.g. [15]) we have that both F and G belong to $BMOA(\mathbb{D})$. Recalling equation (19) we get that $f = F + GJ \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$ which, by Proposition 5.2, leads to the conclusion. $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.3 we get the following identification.

Corollary 5.4. *The two spaces $(H^2(\mathbb{B}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{B}))^*$ and $BMOA(\mathbb{B})$ coincide.*

A natural question is then whether the spaces $H^1(\mathbb{B})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{B})$ coincide or not. A partial answer is given by the following result.

Proposition 5.5. $H^1(\mathbb{B}) = H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) + H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof. On the one hand $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) + H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq H^1(\mathbb{B})$ since $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq H^1(\mathbb{B})$ as proven in [8]. On the other hand, let $f \in H^1(\mathbb{B})$, $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$ with $I \perp J$ and let $F, G : \mathbb{B}_I \rightarrow L_I$ be such that $f_I = F + GJ$ on $\mathbb{B}_I$. Then, since $F, G \in H^1(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{B}_I)$ (see [8]), thanks to the classical factorization for the complex Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{D})$ we can write $F(z) = F_1(z)F_2(z)$ and $G(z) = G_1(z)G_2(z)$ with $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Hence, on the slice $\mathbb{B}_I$ we have the following expression of f_I

$$f_I(z) = F_1(z)F_2(z) + G_1(z)G_2(z)J = F_1 \star F_2(z) + G_1 \star G_2(z)J,$$

which can be (uniquely) extended on the entire $\mathbb{B}$ by means of the Extension Lemma as

$$f(q) = \text{ext}(F_1 \star F_2 + G_1 \star G_2 J)(q) = \text{ext}(F_1) \star \text{ext}(F_2)(q) + \text{ext}(G_1) \star \text{ext}(G_2)(q)J$$

where the last equality follows from the Identity Principle. Since $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_I)$ we get that their regular extensions belong to $H^2(\mathbb{B})$ and therefore that $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) + H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B})$. $\square$

As a consequence we have that $H^1(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{B}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Theorem 5.6. *The dual space of $H^1(\mathbb{B})$ is $BMOA(\mathbb{B})$.*

Proof. Corollary 5.4, Proposition 5.5, and a standard density argument, yield that $BMOA(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq (H^1(\mathbb{B}))^*$.

To prove the opposite inclusion, let $L \in (H^1(\mathbb{B}))^*$ be a bounded linear functional on $H^1(\mathbb{B})$. Then, for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$, $L \in (H^1(\mathbb{B}_I))^*$. Since, for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$, $H^1(\mathbb{B}_I)$ is a linear subspace of $L^1(\partial\mathbb{B}_I)$ (see [8]), the Hahn-Banach Theorem in the quaternionic setting (see [4]) yields that L extends to a bounded linear functional on $L^1(\partial\mathbb{B}_I)$. As in the complex case, $(L^1(\partial\mathbb{B}_I))^* = L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{B}_I)$, hence, there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{B}_I)$ such that

$$L(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\varphi(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta$$

for any $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{B}_I)$. The same duality relation holds, restricted to $f \in H^1(\mathbb{B})$, even if we replace φ by its projection $g = P\varphi$ onto the functions which are holomorphic on $\mathbb{B}_I$, with values in the quaternions (here the Splitting Lemma is used); and it follows from one complex dimensional Nehari Theory that g lies in $BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Here are the details.

If $f \in H^1(\mathbb{B})$, hence in $H^1(\mathbb{B}_I)$ for any $I \in \mathbb{S}$, and if f maps $\mathbb{B}_I$ to L_I , then

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty > |L(f)|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{g(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{(G_1(e^{\theta I}) + G_2(e^{\theta I})J)} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{G_1(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta - J \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{G_2(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{G_1(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta \right|^2 + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{G_2(e^{\theta I})} f(e^{\theta I}) d\theta \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where G_1, G_2 are the splitting components of g on $\mathbb{B}_I$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}$ is orthogonal to I . Therefore we get that $G_1, G_2 \in (H^1(\mathbb{D}))^*$ which, by Fefferman Theorem (see [9]), coincides with $BMOA(\mathbb{D})$. Then, if a is an arc in $\partial\mathbb{B}_I$,

$$g_{I,a} = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a g(e^{\theta I}) d\theta = \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a (G_1(e^{\theta I}) + G_2(e^{\theta I})J) d\theta = G_{1,a} + G_{2,a}J,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{a \subset \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |g(e^{\theta I}) - g_{I,a}|^2 d\theta &= \sup_{a \subset \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |G_1(e^{\theta I}) - G_{1,a}|^2 + |G_2(e^{\theta I}) - G_{2,a}|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \sup_{a \subset \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |G_1(e^{\theta I}) - G_{1,a}|^2 d\theta + \sup_{a \subset \mathbb{R}, |a| \leq 2\pi} \frac{1}{|a|} \int_a |G_2(e^{\theta I}) - G_{2,a}|^2 d\theta \\ &= \|G_1\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{D})} + \|G_2\|_{BMOA(\mathbb{D})} < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

namely that $g \in BMOA(\mathbb{B}_I)$. Thanks to Proposition 5.2 we conclude that $g \in BMOA(\mathbb{B})$. $\square$

Corollary 5.7. $H^1(\mathbb{B}) = H^2(\mathbb{B}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof. Equality of duals implies norm equivalence in the spaces. $\square$

6 Concluding remarks

We conclude with some questions connected with the paper's subject which we could not answer.

- We know that $H^1(\mathbb{B}) = H^2(\mathbb{B}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{B})$. It would be interesting to know if $H^2(\mathbb{B}) \star H^2(\mathbb{B}) = H^1(\mathbb{B})$; that is if a good factorization theory exists in the quaternionic setting.
- Our testing function for the Carleson measure theorem is an average of reproducing kernels. It would be interesting to know if the “reproducing kernel thesis” holds; that is if the inequality $\int_{\mathbb{B}} |k_w|^2 d\mu \leq c(\mu) \|k_w\|_{H^2(\mathbb{B})}^2$, with $c(\mu)$ independent of w , implies that μ is Carleson for $H^2(\mathbb{B})$.

References

- [1] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, *Self-mappings of the quaternionic unit ball: multiplier properties, Schwarz-Pick inequality and Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem*, to appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J., <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.2658.pdf>
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [3] N. Arcozzi, G. Sarfatti, *Invariant metrics for the quaternionic Hardy space*, online first in J. Geom. Anal.
- [4] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe and F. Sommen, *Clifford Analysis*, Pitman Publishing, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1982.

- [5] L. Carleson, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 547-559.
- [6] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H_p spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38 Academic Press, New York-London (1970).
- [8] C. de Fabritiis, G. Gentili, G. Sarfatti, *Quaternionic Hardy spaces*, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1404.1234v1.pdf>
- [9] C. Fefferman, E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [10] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol 96. Academic Press, New York-London (1981).
- [11] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, D. C. Struppa, *Regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2013.
- [12] G. Gentili, D. C. Struppa, *A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, Adv. Math., 216 (2007), 279–301.
- [13] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti, A. Perotti, *Continuous slice functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert spaces*, Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013).
- [14] Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, Ann. of Math. 65 (1957), 153-162.
- [15] V. V. Peller, *An excursion into the theory of Hankel operators*, in Holomorphic spaces (Berkeley, CA, 1995), 65-120, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 33, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1998).
- [16] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in function spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.